
The **RESISTER**

The Official Publication
of the Special Forces Underground

Volume I

Summer 1994

Number 1

EDITORIAL POLICY

WELCOME to this, our first issue of *The RESISTER*. Over the years, we have seen many attempts to produce so-called underground newspapers treating the issues that concern the various special forces groups in general, and the special operations community in particular. Our only response to such efforts is disgust.

For an organization that is touted as the elite of our armed forces, past attempts to expose the ineptitude of our command, the incompetents in our officer and non-commissioned officer corps, and the inequities and injustices of whimsical policies have been embarrassingly amateurish. Casual reading of the myriad publications masquerading as

Continued on page 6

The RESISTER:

A Brief Synopsis of our Philosophy

BREAKING WITH the almost universal tradition of underground publications we are going to inform our readers precisely what we stand for, and why. Have no doubts; we are political. We are also soldiers. There is no contradiction. Every member of the armed forces takes an oath to defend the Constitution against "...all enemies, foreign and domestic." *The RESISTER* does not take this oath lightly. But one of the most perfidious assaults on the integrity of the armed forces in general is the unconscionable notion that the military must remain aloof of politics and perform any mission assigned to it by its' civilian chiefs without question. Take this argument to its logical conclusion and you will understand why the Founding Fathers of this nation strenuously opposed a large, Federally controlled, standing army.

What We Stand For

The philosophy of *The RESISTER* is straightforward: strict constitutionalism, isolationism, laissez-faire capitalism, individual rights, limited government, and republicanism.

What We Oppose

The RESISTER opposes statism, liberalism, tribalism, socialism, collectivism, internationalism, democracy, altruism, pull politics, and the New World Order.

There you have it.

The Staff

EDITORIAL

Why We Are Anti-democracy

FOR THE LAST seventy-seven years, since the first American died in France to keep the world, in the words of our first socialist president, Woodrow Wilson, "Safe for Democracy," Americans have been subject to the "progressive" notion that this nation was founded upon, and ruled by, the principles of democracy. This Hitlerian style "Great Lie" has, over the years, flushed America down the sewer of altruism, leaving it to sink slowly into the rancid cesspool of liberalism, tribalism, internationalism, and socialism. *The RESISTER's* opposition to democracy is simply that democracy ultimately must, by definition, result in totalitarianism.

The theory of democracy is equality. The principle of democracy is for people to administer their government in person. The premise of democracy is majority rule. The postulate of democracy is societal leveling, social equality, and egalitarianism. The presumption of democracy is that all people are equal in status, equal in ability, and equal in reason. Thus the practice of democracy makes the incompetent the equal of the competent, the inept the equal of the able, the lazy the equal of the industrious, and the ignoramus the equal of the intelligent. In the exercise of law this is just. In the exercise of government this is tyranny. In short, this country was not founded upon democracy but upon its opposite--individual rights--which can neither be abrogated by majority opinion nor subverted by minority plotting.

"From this view of the subject, it may be concluded, that a pure Democracy, by which I mean a society, consisting of a small number of citizens, who assemble and administer the Government in person, can admit of no cure for the mischiefs of faction. A common passion or interest will, in almost every case, be felt by the majority of the whole; a communication and concert results from the form of government itself; and there is nothing to check the inducements to sacrifice the weaker party, or an obnoxious individual. Hence it is, that such Democracies have ever been spectacles of turbulence and contention; have ever been found incompatible with personal security, or the rights of property; and have in general been as short in their lives, as they have been violent in their deaths. Theoretic politicians, who have patronized this species of government, have erroneously supposed, that by reducing mankind to a perfect equality in their political rights, they would, at the same time, be perfectly equalized and assimilated in their possessions, their opinions, and their passions."

James Madison, November 22, 1787

All men are *not* equal in competence, ability, or intelligence. Because the combined efforts of the incompetent, inept, lazy, and ignorant can (rightfully), never hope to equal the individual efforts of the competent, able, industrious, and intelligent the former will, out of envy, form factions against the latter.

These factions are never organized for the purpose of raising the low to the standards of the high, instead they are formed for the expressed purpose of dragging the high down to the level of the low. The concept of democratic government expressed by the socialists of the Democratic Party, their antagonists of the quasi-socialist Republican Party, and the international socialists of the New World Order, is nothing less than an intentional effort to make the competent slaves of the incompetent, the able slaves of the inept, and the intelligent slaves of the ignorant. Democracy is government by mediocracy. Democracy is nothing less than the rule of the mob.

The RESISTER is not opposed to democracy *per se*, rather we oppose democratic process by a simple 51/49 majority. If an issue is important enough to

Continued on page 3

RUMORS

DELTA, SEALS, Asked to Help Confis- cate Civilian Arms

SEVERAL disturbing reports have surfaced that both DELTA Operators and SEALS have been canvassed by the Justice Department regarding their willingness to participate in Justice and Treasury raids on U.S. citizens who own so-called "assault weapons," or who are deemed to pose a threat to "public safety." Given the DELTA advisors sent to Waco to assist the FBI following the abortive Treasury raid on otherwise law abiding citizens exercising their Constitutional rights of free assembly, religion, and to keep and bear arms, we can only assert that where there is smoke, there is fire. We have no proof these allegations are true. If you do, write us.

The Editor

Haiti Mission Analysis Proceeds

OUR CORRESPONDENTS in the 1st and 2nd Ranger Battalions inform us that the Ranger Regiment staff has been planning the seizure of the Port Au Prince International Airport for months.

When we protested that that is their job our correspondents assured us that planning had begun as early as February, far in advance administration public announcements about the "possible" use of force to restore the communist Aristide to office.

Continued on page 3

LETTERS

to the Editor

NO INTERVENTION

ON THE EVE of the United States invasion of Haiti I am reminded of the shrieking yellow journalism hysteria that preceeded the Spanish American War of 1898. There is no compelling reason to invade Haiti. Haiti poses absolutely no threat to the security of this nation.

The only reason invasion is even being contemplated is to satisfy the political whimsy of the Black Congressional Caucus, intellectual mystics who are the vanguards of neo-communist tribalism.

The Black Congressional Caucus's irrational meaningless bromides about lack of action on Haiti because it is a predominantly black country would drive any rational person to ignore the issue all together.

The Black Congressional Caucus's demand that Aristide, a communist, a voodoo mystic, and a common street thug disguised as a catholic priest, be reinstalled as president of Haiti by force of arms born by the United States military says volumes about their political philosophy.

Haiti's problems are Haiti's. Let them murder themselves, starve, and perish from AIDS. Good riddance to them. They brought their problems upon themselves. That entire pest-hole is not worth a single American soldier's life.

Name Withheld by Request
3 SFG(A)

YOUR RIGHTS AND YOU

IF YOU have been following the latest breech of your rights by the federal government, you may wonder why the government insists on penalizing honest citizens rather than the true criminal. I refer of course to the "Assault Rifle Ban" that the government is trying to make you believe will curb crime. Total garbage! As we all know, the only people effected by this ban will be those of us that are part of the honest citizenry. No criminal will even be concerned; by definition the criminal does not abide by the laws that each of us feels compelled to support.

If this is not about crime control and criminals will not be effected, what is it about? It is about people control plain and simple! Look back into history to the battles of Lexington and Concord. The Crown (then government) sent General Clinton (de ja vu) to confiscate the weapons of the citizenry. The rest is history and the basis for our nation. Now the federal government headed by President Clinton wants your weapons and the reason is the same. Without weapons to repel a tyrannical government the citizens become subjects.

But no one has said anything about confiscation you say. In New York City registration was an initial requirement that was followed later by mandatory disposal (equals confiscation). The government wants to remove your means of resistance. Do any of you really expect to repel

Continued on page 4

RUMORS

HAITI

Continued from page 2

More disturbing are reports that classified plans regarding the employment and deployment of United States military forces to Haiti are being briefed in detail to the United Nations Security Council.

The expenditure of American lives, taxpayer dollars, and national resources to replace a peasant nation junta with a communist "priest" endorsed by the tribalists of the Black Congressional Caucus and by our socialist administration now seems imminent. If you have more information, write us.

Associate Editor

EDITORIAL

Continued from page 2

vote on there must be a clear 2/3 majority for it to carry. If not, the issue is subject to the whims of factionalism, where lies carry the equal weight of truth and the irrational is the equal of reason.

Under our Constitution an individual is legally free to take any action he pleases (so long as it does not violate the rights of others), while a government official is bound by law in his every official act. A private individual may do anything except that which is legally *forbidden*; a government official may do nothing except that which is legally *permitted*.

The RESISTER's objection to democracy is its use by the incompetent, inept, and irrational to abrogate individual rights enumerated by the Bill of Rights to the Constitution by the whim of a simple majority voting without any pretense of thought, logic, or reason.

We often hear the phrase, "But, this is a democracy," when the mediocracy of the many is eclipsed by the excellence of the few. When we hear this twaddle we know we are in the presence of wilful, cultivated evil.

Alexander Davidson

LETTERS

...RIGHTS...

Continued from page 3

armed agents of the state with single shot rifles and shotguns?

The real point is that the proposed ban is unconstitutional. It is a violation of the Second Amendment to the Constitution, a portion of The Bill of *Rights*. At this point I could begin the history lesson but I will not. Rather I challenge each of you to avail yourselves of the public library and research the intent of the Second Amendment and any others you feel relevant to your every day lives. A place to start may be the Federalist Papers and any work by the opposition then known as Anti-Federalists.

Good hunting.

"Lexington"
USAJFKSWCS

PRESIDENTIAL DECISION DIRECTIVE TWENTY-FIVE

ON 3 MAY, 1994, president Clinton signed PDD-25 defining policy involving our military in international "peace-keeping." The only people permitted to read this document are policy advisors and selected members of Congress.

A formal Freedom of Information Act request for a copy of this document results in nothing but a form letter from the National Security Council acknowledging its existence without revealing anything of its content. A fifteen page summary of this document was distributed in Congress. However, if the summary of PDD-25 provided to Congress is anything like the summary of NAFTA provided to Congress, it would be wrong to assume the summary of PDD-25 is

an accurate description of the base document.

Challenged further to supply the text of PDD-25, the NSC provided the text of a 5 May, 1994, press briefing conducted by National Security Advisor Anthony Lake. But again, no text of the base document.

Since when is policy concerning so-called peacekeeping operations a national secret? Unless, of course, this administration, despite statements to the contrary, are planning to subordinate the American military to the whims of the United Nations.

My concern is that the sovereignty of America is being sold down the river and that our Army is becoming little more than a slave Army of the United Nations.

"MJSEVB"
USAJFKSWCS

SWCS INSTRUCTORS PARTICIPATING IN DRUG RAIDS

I AM WRITING to expose what I believe to be a gross violation of USC Title 10 and Posse Comitatus.

For almost a year the SFARTEC committee of Company D, 2D Battalion, USAJFKSWCS, has been participating in drug raids with the Cumberland County Narcotics Task Force. SFARTEC instructors participate in these raids as active players, their role being to serve as back-up for police making drug busts. These SFARTEC instructors are positioned at the end of the stack during assaults on suspected drug residences.

Since when has the Army become concerned with active local law enforcement? What is next, raids on law abiding civilians for exercising their Second Amendment rights?

My friends and I are all in agreement; our government is getting out of control and the first time we are given a mission to disarm the citizens of this country we are going to desert and join whatever guerrilla

PERSONALS

Mister Brown's classic 1947 Hudson will be on display for the amusement of interested parties 940827.

The blue pram pushed by Wilma Patterson was given to her by her Great Grandmother Joan.

Edgar has got fleas in his sofa.

SWM, 35, 69, 165, wishes to correspond with SWF or DWF, object matrimony. Interests: literature, classic movies, classic music, quiet home life. Photo a must.

Samuel Donaldson has fourteen red peonies growing in his garden.

Anyone having knowledge of the whereabouts of William Frederick please contact this publication, c/o WILLI47621.

movement demonstrates it is fighting to restore the principles this country was founded on, republicanism and individual rights.

Keep up the good work. Don't let the b-----s shut you down.

"John"
7 SFG(A)

*Thank you for your vote of confidence. There are many more who think as you do than you believe. Yet, for whatever reason, fear of retribution by their chain of command, or belief that they are alone, keeps them silent. If anyone has further information about this matter write **The RESISTER**.*

The Editor

NO COMPROMISE:

Dont Tread on Me

by
"Minuteman"

3 SFG(A)

AS A SOLDIER-HISTORIAN I have been watching, with considerably more than passing interest, the very document I have sworn to defend against both foreign and domestic enemies, the Constitution of the United States, sink deeper and deeper into a socialist cesspool; a cesspool constantly fed by the sewer of compromise.

On 19 April 1775, a handful of men in Lexington Massachusetts took up arms in defense of their peers at Concord to oppose a force sent to confiscate their means to resist tyranny. This confiscatory force was not a foreign invader, nor an army of occupation; it was the army of their government. Our ancestors resisted, and won.

Modern Americans are content to grovel at the feet of their government, compromising at every turn, whimpering insipid platitudes of subservient thanks when their Constitutional rights, assured by the original ten Amendments to the Constitution, are granted to them anew as privileges.

The Constitution and the Bill of Rights are limitations on government--not the individual. Bear in mind that the original ten Amendments to the Constitution, the Bill of Rights, were not the product of those who wrote the Constitution, the Federalists, but to those who opposed it, the Anti-Federalists. The Anti-Federalists recognized the potential for tyranny in the Constitution and insisted on guarantees of inalienable rights that could not be abrogated by government. They recognized that

all government is, by definition, tyrannical.

Socialists believe words are fuzzy, undefinable constructs that assume whatever meaning they want under whatever whim context they invent at the time. Socialists hate the 18th century language of the Constitution because the words used actually had specific meaning in the context in which they were used. Thus the Second Amendment to the U.S. Constitution states in full:

A well regulated Militia, being necessary to the security of a free State, the right of the people to keep and bear Arms, shall not be infringed.

In context, the term "...regulated Militia," meant the whole citizenry, independently armed, practiced and drilled in the use of arms, prepared to take up those arms to preserve their property, as individuals, and their rights as freemen.

In context, the phrase "...free State," meant not only defense against foreign aggression, but more explicitly, defense against domestic tyranny.

Note the elegance and exclusivity of the closing to this keystone of the Bill of Rights; "...shall not be infringed." In context this means: No organization, government or non-government, by design, neglect or whim, through legislation or regulation, for reasons specific or implied, shall restrict this right in any way, form, or condition.

Socialists believe the Second Amendment refers only to State

Guard with jealous attention the public liberty. Suspect every one who approaches that jewel. Unfortunately, nothing will preserve it but downright force. Whenever you give up that force, you are ruined.

Patrick Henry

governments, or even more terrifying, to the Federal government. Their shopworn bromide that the term "...the people..." in the Second Amendment applies only to the National Guard, Reserves, standing army, and police, but not to individuals, is a base lie.

"...(T)he people..." means all individuals. Period.

The National Guard is an instrument of force of a State government. The Reserves are an instrument of force of the Federal government. Both organizations are, in 18th century terms, a Select Militia. It was in wise opposition to a government monopoly of armed force by a Select Militia in general, and a standing army in particular, that the individual's right to keep and bear arms was guaranteed. No wonder our socialist government and their despicable altruist cheerleaders despise the Second Amendment.

Supreme Court rulings on the Second Amendment have affirmed that the Second Amendment applies only to individuals, not armed gangs on federal or state payrolls. Indeed, the Supreme Court has ruled that the right of individuals to bear arms appropriate to (select) Militia use is unquestioned. This means: If the National Guard, Reserves, standing army, and innumerable armed gangs of local, state, and unconstitutional Federal police have, for example, M-16 rifles, it is not only the right of individuals to possess and openly bear the same weapons, it is contrary to the preservation of their liberty not to.

Continued on page 6

No Compromise...

Continued from page 5

Political socialists in the U.S. Congress, as well as police state socialists in the unconstitutional Justice and Treasury Departments are using the typical stalking horses of government tyranny, public safety, and some undefined mob of irrelevencies called 'the children,' to creep up on and abolish the sole guaranty of personal liberty in the Constitution, the Second Amendment.

By "public safety" the Federal government means greatly expanding the powers of their own armed gangs of badge wielding thugs. By those amorphous abstractions they call 'children' they are referring to 15 - 24 year-old minority street garbage killing each other over drug and extortion turf.

Socialists are constantly calling for compromise on Second Amendment issues which they themselves fabricate. Compromise, as any intelligent person knows, is unmitigated evil. Only the inept, irrational, and intellectually corrupt ask for compromise and only they win when compromise is conceded by the able, rational, and intelligent out of some misguided fear of being labeled "extremist."

Socialist revile those who stand on principle, those who distinguish right from wrong, and those who can differentiate between truth and lies. Whenever socialists fling accusations of extremism you can rest assured that their opponents have the truth on their side. Simply put, in any compromise between poison and food, only death wins.

Regarding the Second Amendment, the only victor in the compromise between the right to keep and bear arms equivalent to those of the Select Militia, and government legislation against them, is slavery. The men who stood armed against their government at Lexington knew that their only choice was liberty or serfdom. They did not compromise.

Two-hundred years ago Randy Weaver and David Koresh would have been heroes for resisting government whimsy. Today, they are vilified for having defended their liberty by force of arms. The unconstitutional Federal police, as always, demonstrated groveling cowardice in their instigation, execution, and cover-up of these outrages. The media, as always, whined, simpered, and adopted the greasy socialist party line like the practiced second-handers they are.

Adopting the bald eagle as the national symbol was a mistake. It should have been the rattlesnake. Philosophically, the only flag in our history that reflects the cause of the American Revolution, resistance to government tyranny, is the coiled rattlesnake over the words: "**DONT TREAD ON ME.**" No one can look upon that flag and misunderstand its meaning.

Under the Constitution the only Federal crime is treason. Subverting the Constitution can only be construed as such. Those in government, Federal, state, or local, who by action or inaction would legislate away the only individual guaranty against tyrannical government, the right to keep and bear arms, and those who support such legislation, are traitors to the Constitution.

As a soldier of the standing army I am sworn to defend the Constitution against these domestic enemies of the Constitution. I will, and I am not alone. ☸

EDITORIAL POLICY

Continued from page 1

underground newspapers reveals them as unconscionable drivel; snivel sheets hacked out by a couple of disgruntled want-to-be's venting their collective spleen about issues they do not understand while sitting around a lap-top computer in their team room drinking beer. If found out, these incompetents deserve expulsion from Special Forces for bringing dishonor on the traditions

of Ethan Allen, T.E. Lawrence, Orde Wingate, Roger Trinquier, Frank Kitson, Vo Nguyen Giap, Collin Gubbins, and Denis Sefton Delmer.

The editorial policy of *The RESISTER* is simple. First, we publish only the pertinent. We will not publish whining, invective letters treating purely local issues, nor anything containing profanities. Second, we publish as you write. We have neither the time nor the inclination to make your writing appear more intelligent than it really is. Third, we are not an intellectual charity and we do not believe in so-called "equal access." If we publish an opposing view it will be for the sole purpose of exposing its falsehoods and identifying its true philosophical premise. Finally, intelligent, rational, reasonable discourse is always welcome.

The question of attribution is always of concern in any publication. We simply must know whether you actually wrote what we intend to publish. Our verification procedure is relatively simple. On your correspondence include your name, mailing address, and a phone number where you can be reached. We will contact you. Have in your possession a copy of your letter, article, or opinion. We will simply tell you a paragraph, line, and how many words from left or right margin. Identify the word correctly and you are in print. We will not publish your name unless you authorize us, in writing, in your original letter. If you wish to use a *nom de plume* that is fine with us. How your correspondence reaches us is our business. One individual per unit who writes will receive a copy of *The RESISTER*. You know what to do with it.

The Editor

SRTGM HSNFI GVNSY VLEJN MCHSK CMDEW CBSDH DMTWE XMDJM PAQCD ZNSNS CKWSN VJSNO SMOXC CAZKF JCHID DKVNO KVNAO JVBUC XZVTU CKZKK VJHKK JVHDS KLVHZ ZFDGH VNFDI VBHGN ZKJHM ZILVI KHZFD
--

BOOK REVIEW

LOST RIGHTS: The Destruction of American Liberty by James Bovard

THIS CHILLING work surveys in detail many of the innumerable ways in which our government--local, state, and federal--routinely and brutally violates rights, impoverishes the populace, strips its citizens of privacy and confiscates private property. Mr. Bovard narrates story after story of how policies allegedly designed for the "public good" turn out to be disasters, and how entrenched bureaucrats become "petty dictators," wielding their power capriciously--seemingly, simply because they can.

Covering a panoramic range of political issues, the author shows how property rights, as understood by the Founding Fathers, are a thing of the past.

Zoning laws, for instance, prohibit freelance authors from writing in their homes. Asset-forfeiture rules permit law enforcement agencies to seize property even before trial, placing the burden of the *owner* to prove the asset was legally acquired.

In many cities and counties, if a building is more than a certain age, it is almost by that fact declared an "historical landmark," thereby stripping its owner of any ownership over it. The federal government enjoys even greater powers by declaring property it wants to control to be "wetlands."

Federal bureaucracies, Bovard argues, have become a means for politicians and their cronies to exchange favors and exercise pull.

Banking laws have systematically rewarded incompetent bankers at the expense of more prudent ones, and never more egregiously than in recent years.

The book has a particularly devastating account of labor laws. It details how occupational licensing operates to keep the price of professional services high by restricting entry into an ever-growing number of fields, and how minimum wage laws have destroyed jobs. It graphically documents how regulators have given sanction to union-inspired violence as a means of settling labor "disputes."

Bovard further tells the horrifying story of how drug laws and their enforcement have made Americans lose their right to be "secure in their persons, papers, houses and effects," as recognized in the Fourth Amendment to the Constitution. He documents the extremes to which the I.R.S. will go in its rapacious quest for our money. And, finally, the book relates how censorship of "commercial" speech, like advertisements, is routinely engaged in by the F.C.C. and other agencies, with the sanction of the Supreme Court.

Lost Rights is a vast and well-documented collection of horror-file material--frightening and unpleasant to experience. The book is not philosophical, apart from a basic respect for the original American notion of limited government, and it implies one essential solution to the woes it depicts: less government. What it *is*, though, is a desperate cry for sanity that tries to grab Americans by their shoulders and shake them from their complacent slumbers in order to prevent the further erosion of our liberties.

In The Next Issue:

- *The RESISTER's* Opposition to "Peacekeeping"
- A Report on the PROJECT FREEDOM rally
- The Lie of "Equal Opportunity"

-plus-

Our Usual Features

Ask around for the Fall issue in September; somebody will have it.

SECOND AMENDMENT RALLY ROE

ON 14 AUGUST, 1994, a pro Second Amendment rally sponsored by **PROJECT FREEDOM** will be held on the Washington Mall. We encourage all our readers to attend and demonstrate what the U.S. Army really exists for; the defense of this Nation form foreign aggression and the defense of our Constitution from internal subversion.

The RESISTER has had several reports that the Second Amendment rally is slated to be the American Tienamin Square. We cannot vouch for the truth of these concerns. Yet, given the federal government's continuing pressure on those who will not bow to it's socialist agenda and it's overt armed assaults on individuals and groups who dare defy it's statist edicts, we advise caution to those who do attend.

There is also some concern regarding government *agents provocateurs* attempting to incite riot, and anti-gun groups initiating an armed confrontation with police officers performing crowd control duties.

If you attend:

Do not attend in uniform: regulations are very clear on this.

Do NOT bring firearms: The People's Democratic Republic of the District of Columbia prohibits firearms within it's borders (that is why their crime rate is so low).

Do not speak for the Army: you are there as a concerned citizen and speak only for yourself.

As you mingle in the crowd try to identify the FBI and BATF goons who will be there *en mass*. They will not be hard to identify; they will scowl allot and behave like NAZIs. Photograph them. We will need their pictures later.

The Staff

MARCH ON WASHINGTON

**You Took an Oath to Defend the
Constitution--Now, DO IT!**

**On 14 August, 1994, there will be a Rally on the Washington Mall to Protest the Abrogation of our Second Amendment Right to Keep and Bear Arms in the Defense of our Liberties against Government Tyranny. Tens-of-Thousands of American Citizens will Peaceably Assemble to Petition the Government for a Redress of Grievances and the Repeal of our Socialist Firearms Laws. Our Government has been Spitting on the Constitution for 130 Years...
NOW, SHOW THEM WHAT IT *REALLY* MEANS!**

.....

GUEST SPEAKERS INCLUDE:

**Rush Limbaugh
G. Gordon Liddy
Larry Pratt
Ted Nugent**

**For Further Information Write or Call:
PROJECT FREEDOM
POB 558, Templeton, MA 01468
1-800-466-0200**